

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS: NOTES and CONSENSUS POLLS  
BYLAWS (In-Person/Virtual)

REFERENCE	POLLING & CONSENSUS
<b>Art. I, Sec 1</b>	<p><b>Election of Officers, Term of Office</b> Group preferred to keep the original terms.</p> <p>Maui Council: <b>Election of Officers, Term of Office. Staggered Terms.</b> Waimea: continuity already exists w/ experience. Kauai: Good idea. Not ready yet. Honolulu: more discussion needed <b>Consensus: <u>Not likely to support</u></b></p> <p>Standardization of Hawaiian terms. [Prince Kuhio]</p>
<b>Art. I, Sec 1</b>	<p><b>Election of Officers, Term of Office</b> <b>[PKHCC]</b> [Attendees were informed that there are 3 new larger concepts introduced here that will appear elsewhere in the document. Polls will be taken along the way after their impact is explored. They include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staggered terms</li> <li>• A third Vice President</li> <li>• Recording and corresponding secretaries as elected officer</li> </ul> <p>Comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kauwahi Anaina: reminder about creating a glossary of terms especially with the extended use of olelo Hawai'i</li> </ul>
<b>Art. I, Sec. 1(Alternate)</b>	<p><b>Election of Officers</b> Third VP Titles of VP's changed Staggered terms Proposal on the Secretaries: No discussion re: kākau ʻōlelo and hope kākau `ōlelo till later.</p> <p style="color: red;">[Kuini Pi`olani] To be withdrawn but support Maui Council language</p>
<b>Art. I, Sec. 1(Alternate)</b>	<p><b>Election of Officers</b> <b>[Kuini Piolani]</b> glossary of Hawaiian terms requested</p>

	<b>[Kuini Pi'olani] To be withdrawn but support Maui Council language</b>
<b>Art. I, Sec 2</b>	<b>Election of Officers, Term of Office</b> No change.
<b>Art. I, Sec 2</b>	<b>Election of Officers, Term of Office</b> No change.
<b>Art. I, Sec. 3 (NEW)</b>	<b>Method of Election</b> General Support. Councils have autonomy re elections. [P&P]
<b>Art. I, Sec. 3 (NEW)</b>	<b>Method of Election</b> [P&P] No questions. <b>Poll: 8-0-0</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b>
<b>Art. I, Sec. 3</b>	<b>Pelekikena Iho Nei</b> [PKHCC]
<b>Art. I, Sec. 3</b>	<b>Pelekikena Iho Nei</b> [PKHCC] <b>Poll: 7-0-1</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b>
<b>Art. I, Sec. 3 (New 4) Iho Nei Alternate</b>	<b>Hawaiian name:</b> To Hui `Ōlelo Group KPHCC: add “serve in advisory capacity” Polling: support to have olelo group do their thing Keep vacancy process standardized re entire board. O Mua: a. all past presidents may be called upon for advice. Support.  Start with most immediate past pres. Yes. Keep bylaws the same. No. <b>[Maui Council]</b>
<b>Art. I, Sec. 3 (New 4) Iho Nei Alternate</b>	<b>Hawaiian name:</b> Maui Council [Maui Council] Pres can appt any past pres to fill vacancy. KADLKHCC (WS): clarification as to role of iho nei, not sure why such language is necessary but if so, add language that also focuses on other roles besides smooth transition <b>Poll: 7-1-0</b>

	<i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
<b>Art. I, Sec 3 (New 4) Alternate</b>	Not discussed [LVHCC]
<b>Art. I, Sec 3 (New 4) Alternate</b>	<b>Iho Nei [LVHCC]</b> “be appt’d” is incorrect language <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>KAHA: okay with suggested language, except the first bullet which implies that this is an “aped” position rather than a successive one – LVHCC kako‘o and amicable to change</b></li> </ul> <b>Poll: 6-0-1</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
<b>Art. I, Sec. 5 (NEW)</b>	<b>Na Pelekikena Honua (Association Past President)</b> No discussion [Kuini Piolani]
<b>Art. I, Sec. 5 (NEW)</b>	<b>Na Pelekikena Honua (Association Past President)</b> [Kuini Piolani] <b>Poll: 7-0-1</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
<b>Art. I, (NEW Sec. 6)</b>	<b>Ka Nu`ukeha (President Emeritus)</b> Hawaiian Title: tbd Kuini: <i>Consensus: kind of split but more on the positive side</i>
<b>Art. I, (NEW Sec. 6)</b>	<b>Ka Nu`ukeha (President Emeritus)</b> Hawaiian Title: to Hui `Ōlelo [Kuini] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KADLKHCC (WS)- are there rights attached like ex-officio status, voting rights??</li> <li>• P&amp;P indicated that from previous explanation it is an honorary title and as stated proposed via resolution rather than occurring automatically</li> <li>• Ke Aloha Aina – Hawaiian language group would like to have more info on “intent” here and in other areas for translation to be appropriate; for example, honorary, no specified role or position; same consideration for other areas</li> <li>• Kauwahi Anaina: why is this necessary?</li> </ul> <b>Poll: 5-1-2</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>

Art. I, Sec. 4 (new sec. 7)	<b>Election of Officers, Term of Office. (Staggered Terms)</b> Maui Council: More discussion needed. Maui Council offering to kokua. No polling.
Art. I, Sec. 4 (new sec. 7)	<b>Election of Officers, Term of Office. (Staggered Terms)</b> [Maui Council] ** Poll was taken on the concept of implementing an election process that created staggered terms.  Poll: 2-6-0 <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i>
Art. I, Sec. 5 (new sec. 8)	<b>Limitation of Terms (Re-numbering)</b> Non-substantive change.
Art. I, Sec. 5 (new sec. 8)	<b>Limitation of Terms (Re-numbering)</b> Non-substantive change.
Art. I, (new sec. 9)	<b>Removal</b> Staggered terms would create conflict with elections and concon Start the discussion re duties/resp & process. Explore more to flesh out. In favor of removal language? Some More work to do? Yes [Maui Council]
Art. I, (new sec. 9)	<b>Removal</b> <b>Strong language. No recollection that this has happened in the past.</b> [Maui Council] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ka Ha I Ka Panoa O Kaleponi: 2/3 vote unclear as to who are you looking at; is it 2/3 of the membership (per capita) or 2/3 of the delegates (registered) at convention; these are different. Should be in policy manual for BOD to hand</li> <li>• Ke Aloha `aina: has this ever been done; is it necessary here in bylaws?</li> <li>• Kauwahi Anaina: Need more detail for requirements/process.</li> <li>• Wakinekona: requires more clarity &amp; detail</li> </ul> Two polls take: one for concept of having a removal section: 2-2-4 And second one as to the proposed language: 1-3-4 <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i>
Art. I, (new sec. 10)	<b>Attendance</b> [Maui Council]

	<p>Excused absences? Easy to add.          Could be more than 4 meetings so some wordsmithing needed.          Add in attendance section? Couple no's.          Put in policy re board conduct.</p>
<b>Art. I, (new sec. 10)</b>	<p><b>Attendance</b>  <b>[Maui Council]</b>  <b>KADLHCC (WS): We are volunteer board. Suggest add “excused” absence. Make provision for reasonable excuse.</b>  <b>Poll: <u>1-4-2</u></b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<b>Art. I, (new sec. 11)</b>	<p><b>Failure to Attend</b>          Maui Council.          PHHCC. Not needed in constitution. It's a commitment so you need to be there.          Princess Ruth. What excuses? Makana: Should be board conduct policy. No officer should have unilateral power to determine an officer is not in compliance. So should be 2/3 majority vote of the full board.  <b>Consensus:</b> Failure to attend section needed in bylaws or policy? YES          Not needed? NO</p>
<b>Art. I, (new sec. 11)</b>	<p><b>Failure to Attend</b>  <b>[Maui Council]</b>          Poll: <b>1-2-5</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<b>Art. I, (new sec. 12)</b>	<p><b>Executive Director</b>          Maui Council          Kauai: Open to explore but volunteers can be as or more successful than paid. Worked other places but not seen it here. Noe Noe: 1. May vs. shall language. ..May serve at the pleasure of the Board.. 2. ED should not have voting position. Carry out decisions of board.          Mahealani: ED should complement and support volunteers. Get professional staff to carry out because so much to do. Leimana: Will ED have oversight of 67 clubs? What will councils' duties be.          Kuini: ok to strike “voting rights”          Kuini: concerns raised can be addressed.          Maunalua: Should also consider contract person. Likes “may” language.          PRHCC: wrong title. Board needs administrative help. Board still has to manage that person. No ED because would take away Kuleana from councils. Takes lots of work to manage ED.          Comfortable about including ED section in bylaws with tweaking&gt; 3. Not now: YES</p>

	<p>Some other title? YES  Like to see a study on this (details)? YES  Move to policy manual (every position).</p>
<p><b>Art. I, (new sec. 12)</b></p>	<p><b>Executive Director</b>  [Maui Council]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kauwahi Anaina: perhaps not ready for ED. Better to use “may” than “shall”. Has cost fact been explored as well as funding sources; may need more time to be better prepared</li> <li>• P&amp;P: as this is a job description, suggest that it be moved to a policy manual; caution as well in the use of “shall” (mandate to be done) vs. “may” (option to fulfill a need)</li> </ul> <p><b>Poll: 0-4-2</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. II Vacancies, How Filled</b>  <b>Art. II, Sec. 1</b></p>	<p><b>Pelekikena</b>  [PKHCC]</p>
<p><b>Art. II Vacancies, How Filled</b>  <b>Art. II, Sec. 1</b>  <b>(for Pelekikena)</b></p>	<p><b>Pelekikena</b>  [PKHCC]  Succession process.  Poll: 7-0-0  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. II Vacancies, How Filled</b>  <b>Art. II, Sec. 1</b>  <b>(Alternate)</b></p>	<p><b>Hope Pelekikena Mua</b>  [PKHCC]  <b>deletion</b></p>
<p><b>Art. II Vacancies, How Filled</b>  <b>Art. II, Sec. 1</b>  <b>(Alternate)</b></p>	<p><b>Hope Pelekikena Mua</b>  [PKHCC]  <b>deletion</b></p>
<p><b>Art. II Vacancies, How Filled</b>  <b>Art. II, Sec. 1</b>  <b>(Alternate)</b></p>	<p><b>Hope Pelekikena Mua</b>  [LVHVVV]</p>
<p><b>Art. II Vacancies, How Filled</b>  <b>Art. II, Sec. 1</b>  <b>(Alternate)</b></p>	<p><b>Hope Pelekikena Mua</b>  [LVHVVV]  Hawaiian ancestry for 2VP so could serve  No poll taken.</p>

	<p><b>Polling: Native Hawaiian ancestry discussion</b></p> <p><b>1. Pres needs to be NH: 7-0-0</b></p> <p><b>2. VP1 needs to be NH: fewer in support</b></p> <p><b>3. VP2 needs to be NH: 7</b></p> <p><b>4. Trez needs to be NH: 1-5-1</b></p> <p><b>Should have pres be Hawaiian but allow non-Hawaiian VP but if there is a vacancy, not able to fill vacancy. No comments.</b></p>
<b>Art. II, Sec. 3</b> <b>Hope Pelekikena `Elua</b>	Non-Substantive change. Use the process set out here for replacement.
<b>Art. II, Sec. 3</b> <b>Hope Pelekikena `Elua</b>	Non-Substantive change. Use the process set out here for replacement.
<b>Art. II, Sec. 4</b> <b>Vac. - Pu`uku</b>	No change.
<b>Art. II, Sec. 4</b> <b>Vac. - Pu`uku</b>	No change.
<b>Art. II, Sec. 5</b> <b>Vac. - Director</b>	<b>Possible numbering change</b>
<b>Art. II, Sec. 5</b> <b>Vac. - Director</b>	<b>Possible numbering change</b>
<b>New Art. II, Sec. 5</b> <b>Other Vacancies</b>	<b>Maui Council</b>
<b>New Art. II, Sec. 5</b> <b>Other Vacancies</b>	<p><b>Maui Council</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>KAHA:</b> clarification needed as to what other vacancies are being addressed; rationale states “officers” but previous sections address their vacancy; do not see why this is needed</li> </ul> <p><b>Poll: 1-1-6</b></p> <p><b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<b>Art. III, Sec. 1</b> <b>BOD Duties</b>	<p>Maui Council intends to withdraw. New Art. 3, Sec. 1</p> <p>New h. P&amp;P language. No questions.</p> <p>Maui Council: duties of loyalty, etc. Intend to move to policy</p>

	<p>Para. i: anticipates having staff so talks about future duties. No comments          Para j. no questions</p>
<b>Art. III, Sec. 1 BOD Duties</b>	<b>Maui Council intends to withdraw. New Art. 3, Sec. 1</b>
<b>Art. III, Sec. 1 BOD Duties</b>	<b>Sec. 1(h) [P&amp;P] add kuleana of BOD</b>
<b>Art. III, Sec. 1 BOD Duties</b>	<b>Sec. 1(h) [P&amp;P] add kuleana of BOD Further clarification of duties.</b>
<b>Art. III, Sec. 1[2]</b>	<b>PKHCC</b> Added language. Everyone ok with this language. Ok overall.
<b>Art. III, Sec. 1[2]</b>	<b>PKHCC</b> Bd actions can't conflict with House of Delegates
<b>Art. III, Sec. 2(h) &amp; (i)</b>	<p><b>BOD Duties [Maui Council]</b>          Hilo. Form governance committee. Noe Noe. Concern re another layer of government. Look at what the board is doing? Creating another committee is a red flag in an organization.          PHHCC: Bottom up org not top down. Are we moving to making this a top down org?          Where is the transferrable of info to the membership? Include in duty of gov committee.          PRHCC: we have the structure. No need for this extra layer.          Kalihi-Palama: Would be a watch dog over executive committee.</p> <p><b>h.</b> power to remove director exists. Gov committee made up of board members so why do you need to create a committee of the board? It already exists. No need to do this.  <u>Consensus:</u> i. governance committee. Likely to support? 1 person. Likely not to support.          Discuss further? Few people</p>
<b>Art. III, Sec. 2(h) &amp; (i)</b>	<p><b>BOD Duties [Maui Council]</b>          Governance Committee          General concerns          (h) – removal of an elected officer or elected Board member seems more appropriately placed in a policy and procedural manual rather than the bylaws          (i) - not sure of a standing committee having more of a policing function rather than a guiding function</p>



	<p>Poll: (relates to “i”): 0-2-5  <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i></p>
<p><b>Art. III, Sec. 3 NEW</b></p>	<p><b>Special Meetings</b>  <b>P&amp;P</b>  Kalihi-Palama: how many BOD members are needed for threshold number to convene.  Language: “may request” vs. “shall” call the meeting. Word-smithing needed.  Set number required for mtg will happen.  PKHCC: Higher threshold of board members or given Pelekikena can determine if issue will be taken up at next regular meeting. Special required. By quorum vote.  No poll taken.</p>
<p><b>Art. III, Sec. 3 NEW</b></p>	<p><b>Special Meetings</b>  <b>P&amp;P</b>  <i>General Poll: 6-0-2</i>  <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i></p>
<p><b>Art. IV (new)</b></p>	<p><b>Advisory Council</b>  <b>Maui Council</b>  Prince Kuhio: Extend to kupuna council. Flexibility to also include opio.  Honolulu: Consider it being an ad hoc committee rather than standing committee. Is this another layer?    <i>Consensus:</i> Support idea of Advisory council in general? Yes. Not likely? 50:50  May need to message to address concerns or figure another way to implement.</p>
<p><b>Art. IV (new)</b></p>	<p><b>Advisory Council</b>  <b>Maui Council</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kauahi Anaina: difference b/t Na Honua and advisory council. Same thing.</li> <li>• KADLKHCC (WS): clarify whether it might be temporary or topic-specific council. Would prefer to see such a council convened for topic specific counsel as needed; more of an ad hoc committee than established advisory council</li> </ul> <p>Poll: 1-4-2  <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i></p>
<p><b>Art. IV, Sec. 1</b>  <b>Duties of Executive Committee</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P</b>  Term “Executive Committee” preferred vs. “Steering Committee” Poll: 50:50  Don’t like the term steering.  Poll: Because of wa`a explanation, use Komike Ho`okele – Hawaiian term.</p>
<p><b>Art. IV, Sec. 1</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P “steering”</b></p>

<b>Duties of Executive Committee</b>	Poll: 4-0-0 <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
	<b>PKHCC</b> Add back “..in line with” and “by the House of Delegates” No objections.
	<b>PKHCC</b>
<b>Art. IV, Sec. 2 Duties of Executive Committee</b>	<b>P&amp;P</b> Organization change only. Better placed in policy manual. Kuini: change work “benchmark” to “milestone” and “outcome” to “outputs”
<b>Art. IV, Sec. 2 Duties of Executive Committee</b>	<b>P&amp;P clarification on ad hoc committees</b> No questions. Organization change only. <b>Poll: 5-0-2</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
	<b>Maui Council</b> “...and is subject to the direction and control of the full board.”  will be withdrawing this
	<b>Maui Council</b> “...and is subject to the direction and control of the full board.”  will be withdrawing this
<b>Art. V, Sec. 1 Duties of Officers &amp; Board Staff</b>	<b>P&amp;P</b> Steering – don’t like the term
<b>Art. V, Sec. 1 Duties of Officers &amp; Board Staff</b>	<b>P&amp;P “Steering”</b> <b>No questions.</b> Generally ok with language changes. Poll is not specific to the term “steering” Poll: 6-0-1
	<b>Maui Council Alternate (sec. d added language re Exec Director)</b>

	<b>Maui Council Alternate (sec. d added language re Exec Director)</b>
<b>Art. V, Sec. 2 VP Mua</b>	<b>P&amp;P (delete “oversight” language; add collaborative language)</b>
	<b>P&amp;P (delete “oversight” language; add collaborative language)</b> <b>No questions</b> <b>Poll: 8-0-0</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b>
	<b>Maui Council</b>
	<b>Maui Council</b> <b>No poll taken</b>
<b>Art. V, Sec. 3 VP `Elua</b>	<b>P&amp;P</b>
	<b>P&amp;P</b> <b>Clarifying language</b> <b>Poll: 0-8-0</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b>
	<b>Kuini</b> Will withdraw this language.
	<b>Kuini</b> Will withdraw this language.
<b>Art. V, Sec. 4 (new) `Ekolu</b>	<b>Maui Council</b> Kalihi-Palama: not in favor because there are standing committees that already do this work Lahaina: in favor. See a need Honolulu: not in favor Kohala: not in favor but why not have another director assist No poll.
<b>Art. V, Sec. 4 (new) `Ekolu</b>	<b>Maui Council</b> <b>Poll: 0-8-0</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b>
	<b>Kuini</b> No notes
	<b>Kuini</b> <b>Same as above</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b>
<b>Art. V, Sec. 4 (new) Pu`uku</b>	<b>P &amp; P: No need bond b/c other safeguards in place</b> <b>PKHCC – delete c.</b>

	Add d & f language. No objection to that.
	<p><b>P &amp; P:</b> delete “c”. <b>No need bond b/c other safeguards in place</b>  <b>Poll: 7-0-1</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p> <p><b>PKHCC</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KLADLK (WS): this language says “&amp; auditors”: Bd has authority to get an audit but new language says <u>annual CPA audit</u></li> </ul> <p><b>Changes in sec. “d”</b>  Poll: 8-0-0  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>
	<p><b>Maui Council</b> Para. i  i. annual audit w/ report to bd. CPA audit. Bylaws already allow audits. Funding issue because too expensive. Discussed internal audits.  Poll: Yes. Likely to support.  j. like this language (file all required docs on time)</p> <p><b>Consensus:</b> Regular reviews: Yes.</p>
	<p><b>Maui Council</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Ke Aloha Aina- may be cost prohibitive annually; perhaps each term or every 5 yrs</b></li> </ul> <p>Poll: 0-4-4  <b>Consensus: <u>50/50 Not Likely to Support &amp; Unsure</u></b></p>
	<p><b>Kuini</b>  j. File taxes and required forms. Already have provision for this.  Poll: should be part of regular duties: Few.  Perhaps should be in policy manual.  Suggest internal audit prior to close of term.</p>
	<p><b>Kuini</b>  j. File taxes and required forms.  Poll: 7-0-0  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>

<p><b>Art. V, Sec. 5 Board Staff</b></p>	<p><b>PKHCC</b>  <b>P&amp;P Non-substantive.</b>  <b>PKHCC- Revised names for secretaries: `ōlelo hui for names</b></p>
	<p><b>PKHCC</b>  <b>P&amp;P Non-substantive.</b></p> <p><b>PKHCC- Revised names for secretaries: `ōlelo hui for names</b>  No poll</p>
	<p><b>Maui Council Recording Secretary</b>  Elected position not staff.</p>
	<p><b>Maui Council</b>  <b>Recording Secretary elect vs. appt.</b>  Poll: 2-5-1  <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i></p> <p><i>Corresponding Secretary:</i>  <b>Poll: 1-5-2</b></p> <p><i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i></p>
	<p><b>Kuini Recording &amp; Corresponding Secretaries</b>  Amendment made corrections to language that was already amended at the 62<sup>nd</sup> Convention.  To be withdrawn.</p>
	<p><b>Kuini Recording &amp; Corresponding Secretaries (w/drawn)</b></p> <p>To be withdrawn.</p>
<p><b>Art. V, Sec. 6 Corresponding Secretary</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P</b> Broke into sections. Not substantive.</p>
	<p><b>P &amp; P Non-substantive</b></p>
<p><b>Art. V, Sec. 6 Corresponding Secretary Kākau `Ōlelo Hope</b></p>	<p><b>Kuini</b>  Added: in absence or inability, Hope will take place.  Do Ka Nūhou also.  PKHCC: job descriptions belong in policy manuals  <i>Consensus:</i> Not likely to support this.  Need to look at this as there was a <b>Not likely to support</b> secretaries as elected positions.</p>
	<p><b>Kuini</b></p>

	<p>No questions/comments Poll is specific to inclusion of subsections b &amp; c</p> <p>Poll: 7 – 0 – 1 <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i></p>
<p><b>LARGER CONCEPT POLLING</b></p>	
<p><b>Election of Secretaries</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Recording Secretary:</b> 2-5-1 <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i></li> <li>• <b>Corresponding Secretary:</b> 1-5-2 <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Art. VI, Sec. Committees</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How chairs appt'd is changed. VPs &amp; P together to appt? Few likely to support. Prefer original language? <b>YES</b></li> <li>2. Resolutions committee becomes Standing Committee. No poll taken.</li> <li>3. Don't list Resolutions &amp; Credentials Comes because they are the only ones listed of all sitcoms. Crucial and not against the law. Poll: So:So feeling</li> </ol> <p>Like credentials as subcommittee of conventions? Some. As its own standing com? <i>Consensus: Likely to support</i></p> <p>Like reso as subcommittee of conventions. Couple. As separate standing committee? <i>Consensus: Likely to support</i> .</p>
	<p><b>P&amp;P</b> <b>No polling.</b></p> <p><b>Kalihi-Palama: no issue with added language (throughout the year) emphasis work continues after convention</b></p>
<p><b>Art. VI, Sec. 1 Committees</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P</b></p> <p>In general people were Likely not to support P &amp; P changes.</p> <p>c. Resos- Bills for an Act: (delete this language) include in Kuleana in reso committee? Poll: Lots are unsure</p> <p>Lots of work to be done on the process. Gov Rel takes reso &amp; puts in Bill form</p>

	<p>Honolulu: d. Finance.  Kuini: use internal review. Committee should not be in charge of internal review. Change language to internal financial review.  <u><b>Consensus Poll</b></u> Like this as new language? Likely to support</p> <p>g. Policy &amp; Planning. Concern re original amendments. Clarifies that they have the ability to do this along with everyone else.  l. Malama Ola. <u><b>Consensus Poll</b></u> Like changes. Likely to support.</p>
<p><b>Art. VI, Sec. 1 Committees</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P</b>  <b>Selection of comm. Chairs No questions</b></p> <p>Poll: delete subcommittees: 6-0-1  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support</u></b></p> <p>Poll: Resolutions as standing committee: 7-0-0  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support.</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art.VI, Sec. 2 Admin &amp; Program Committees-General</b></p>	<p><b>PKHCC – revised committee names</b>  b &amp; c no objections.  Are there job descriptions? In bylaws, name position &amp; succession language keep in bylaws. Move duties to policy (personnel) because not hired.</p>
<p><b>Art.VI, Sec. 2 Admin &amp; Program Committees-General</b></p>	<p><b>PKHCC – revised committee</b>  g. addition to P&amp;P descriptor  Poll: 8 – 0 – 1  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p> <p><b>Finance Committee language.</b>  <b>Sec. d No questions</b>  <b>Poll: 7-0-0</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p> <p><b>Policy &amp; Planning: no questions/comments</b>  <b>Poll: 8-0-1</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>

	<p><b>Komike Malama Ola clarifying changes:</b>  <b>Poll: 8-0-0</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support.</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art.VI, Sec. 2 Admin &amp; Program Committees-General ALTERNATE</b></p>	<p><b>Maui Council</b>  Grouping committees by category:  1. could change how committees are currently separated  2. intent: offer pathway to collaborate with each other in cluster  3. grouping similar to the way that indigenous groups do it. They have direct interaction with Congress.</p>
<p><b>Art.VI, Sec. 2 Admin &amp; Program Committees-General ALTERNATE</b></p>	<p><b>Maui Council</b>  Grouping committees by category:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KADLKHCC (WS): How does grouping by sectors/categories affect current Admin/Program reporting?</li> </ul> <b>Poll: 1-2-4</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Unsure about support</u></b></p> <p><b>New Para. c relates to Finance Committee descriptor</b>  <b>Poll: 6-0-2</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. VI, Sec. 2 (NEW) [different than current Sec. 2]</b></p>	<p><b>Kalihi-Palama</b>  New section 2. Both prog or adm committees can be assigned resolutions if they fall in their kuleana. Want to add this to bylaws? Poll: mostly yes.  <b>Kalihi-Palama</b>  Language: “shall” vs. “may” in this section. Select the appropriate term.</p>
<p><b>Art. VI, Sec. 2 (NEW) [different than current Sec. 2]</b></p>	<p><b>Kalihi-Palama</b>  <b>No discussion</b>  <b>Poll: 7-0-0</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. VI, Sec. 2 current ALTERNATE</b></p>	<p><b>Kalihi-Palama</b>  Subcommittees on <b>Self-Determination &amp; Governance</b></p>



<b>Art. VI, Sec. 2 current ALTERNATE</b>	<b>Kalihi-Palama</b> Identify new subcommittees, Self-Determination & Governance <b>Poll: 0-6-1</b> Should we include both subcommittees?  <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></i>
<b>Art. VI, Sec. 3 Committees-General</b>	P & P Written quarterly report
<b>Art. VI, Sec. 3 Committees-General</b>	P&P- Written quarterly report <b>Poll: 6-0-1</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
<b>Article VI, Section 4</b>	<b>P&amp;P (Installation)</b> Installation before end of convention. (Defer to PKHCC)
<b>Article VI, Section 4</b>	<b>P&amp;P (Installation)</b> <b>Poll: 6-0-1</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
<b>Article VI, Section 4 ALTERNATE</b>	<b>PKHCC</b> Take place “at time and place, whether in person or remotely, which allows the ceremony....”
<b>Article VI, Section 4 ALTERNATE</b>	<b>PKHCC</b> <b>Poll: 7-0-1</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
<b>Article VI, Section 4 ALTERNATE</b>	<b>Kalihi-Palama</b> “or any other appropriate time as determined by the BOD...”
<b>Article VI, Section 4 ALTERNATE</b>	<b>Kalihi-Palama</b> “or any other appropriate time as determined by the BOD...” No poll
<b>COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITY:</b>	Article VI Section 4 submissions
	Language proposed in all three are similar. Suggest getting authors together to confer and submit one

	version
Art. VI, Sec. 5 Ad Hoc Com	No change
	<b>No change</b>
Art. VI, Sec. 6 Task Force	No change (non-substantive)
	<b>No change (non-substantive)</b>
Art. VII, Sec. 1 Council Duties	Adds g. & h. Compliance with fed and state law. <b>PKHCC</b>
	Adds g. & h. Compliance with fed and state law. <b>PKHCC</b> <b>Poll: 7-0-0</b> <b><u>Consensus: Likely to Support</u></b>
Art. VIII Club Duties	<b>P&amp;P (non-substantive)</b>
	<b>P&amp;P (non-substantive)</b>
Art. IX, Sec. 1 Issuing Charters	<b>LVHCC</b> not discussed
	<b>LVHCC</b> Expanded support by establishing a minimum “floor” --- where clubs have lost lots of members and members are in different communities. Want to get opio and new members. Harder to fill the requirement. <b>Ke Aloha Aina: keep original language. Perhaps smaller % for smaller clubs (for Art. 7, Sec. 2) to <u>maintain the charter?</u> No feedback.</b> <b>Wakinekona: Have majority of 25 new members be NH.</b> <b>Martha Ross: supports “majority” of new members be NH</b>  <b>Is there an impact to Constitution, art. 7?</b>  <b>Consensus:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 25 min good to start new club? 8-0-0 <b><u>Likely to Support</u></b></li> <li>• 10 min for NH: 1-4-2 <b><u>Not Likely to Support</u></b></li> <li>• Keep original language: 5-3-0 <b><u>Likely to Support</u></b></li> </ul>

	<b>P&amp;P</b>
	<b>P&amp;P move to procedures manual</b> <b>Poll: 5-0-1</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b>
<b>Art. IX., Sec. 2 CC Criteria</b>	<b>Kuini</b> Newly-chartered club, no per capita from prior convention, can participate at convention using same formula g. not discussed
	<b>Kuini</b> <b>Kauwahi Anaina: is this necessary since concons are every 10 yrs.</b> <b>Ka Ha Panoa: could be placed in policy manual not bylaws</b>  <b>Poll re: addition of sec. g: 3-6-0</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></b>
<b>Art. IX, Sec. 3 Suspension/Termination of Charter</b>	<b>P&amp;P</b> Majority of language to policy manual except authorization. <b>Stopped here: 8/27/22</b>
	<b>P&amp;P</b> Majority of language to policy manual. Keep first sentence in bylaws identifying authority of BOD to suspend or terminate a charter.  <b>Poll: 8 -0-0</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support.</u></b>
<b>Art. IX, Sec. 4 Termination Process (of Charter)</b>	<b>P&amp;P</b> Majority of language to policy manual except authorization.
	<b>P&amp;P</b> Move entire section to policy manual because it describes procedure. <b>Poll: 7-0-0</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support</u></b>
<b>Art. IX, Sec.5 Termination Process</b>	<b>P &amp; P Renumbered if secs. 3-5 are moved to policy manual</b>
	<b>P &amp; P</b> <b>Agree with deletion &amp; move to policy manual</b>

	<p><b>Poll: 9-0-0 Move to policy manual</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. IX, Sec. 6 Termination Process</b></p>	<p><b>Art. IX, Sec. 6 (renumber, nonsubstantive)</b></p> <p><b>Maui Council: Determination of need for virtual convention</b></p>
	<p><b>Art. IX, Sec. 6 (re-number: Non-substantive) Termination Process</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Ka Ha Panoa. Make reference in bylaws that procedure is found in policy manual</b></li> </ul> <p><b>No poll taken as this is a non-substantive and determined by outcome of Sec. 3 – 5</b></p>
<p><b>Art. X, Sec. 1 Conventions Date, Time, Place, Location</b></p>	<p><b>Art. X was amended in January</b></p> <p><b>Sec. 1 Maui Council: Determination of need for virtual convention</b></p>
	<p><b>Addition of subsection “d”: Maui Council: Determination of need for virtual convention</b>  <b>Poll: 7-1-0</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. X, Sec., 2 Conventions Off</b></p>	<p><b>P &amp; P Keep all existing committees but add Resolutions as a Standing Committee if Art. VI, Sec. 2 first version is adopted.</b></p> <p><b>No change</b></p>
<p><b>Art. X, Sec. 3 Conventions Com</b></p>	<p>Keep all existing committees but add Resolutions as a Standing Committee if Art. VI, Sec. 2 first version is adopted.</p> <p>Keep all existing committees but add Resolutions as a Standing Committee if Art. VI, Sec. 2 first version is adopted.          (Note: Poll from change in Art. VI, Sec. 2, indicated favoring the addition of Resolutions as a standing committee)</p>
<p><b>Art. X, Sec. 4 Voting Privileges Del At Lg</b></p>	<p><b>No change</b></p> <p><b>No change</b></p>
<p><b>Art. X, Sec. 5 Voting in Committee</b></p>	<p><b>P &amp; P: clarifies each club has one designated voting member in committee. Can be delegate, Alternate, or delegate-at-large.</b></p>

	<p><b>P &amp; P:</b> clarifies each club has one designated voting member in committee. Can be delegate, Alternate, or delegate-at-large.</p> <p><b>Kalihi-Palama:</b> adds add'l action choices per motion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• P&amp;P – what about registered member; can they vote in committee to represent voice of their club?</li> <li>• KAHA: does AHCC/credentials track who are/are not members to validate representation at a committee meeting</li> </ul> <p><b>Poll:</b> <i>Need answers to above questions for clarification in order to support. 9-2-0</i></p> <p><b>Consensus:</b> <i><u>likely to support (clarify whether member can be club representative and vote in committee meeting)</u></i></p>
Art. X, Sec. 6	<p><b>Kalihi-Palama:</b> adds add'l action choices per motion for actions on the floor (plenary). “Defer, postpone indefinitely, lay on the table, or commit or refer to committee”</p>
Art. X, Sec. 6	<p><b>Kalihi-Palama:</b> adds add'l action choices per motion for actions on the floor. “Defer, postpone indefinitely, lay on the table, or commit or refer to committee”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kauwahi Anaina: Options for motions on the floor <u>beyond</u> adopt, not adopt?</li> </ul> <p><b>Poll:</b> 6-2-0</p> <p><b>Consensus:</b> <i><u>Likely to support the additional options.</u></i></p>
Art. X, Sec. 7	<p><b>No Change</b></p> <p><b>No Change</b></p>
Art. X, Sec. 8 Registration of Del & Alt.	<p><b>P &amp; P</b> move language to policy manual.</p>
	<p><b>P &amp; P</b> move language to policy manual for Standing Rules of Convention. <b>Poll:</b> 8-0-0 <b>Consensus:</b> <i><u>Likely to support.</u></i></p> <p><b>Kalihi-Palama:</b> add'l 5 days beyond 60 day deadline to provide per capita based on “extenuating circumstances.” (BOD can seat a club) <b>Poll:</b> 7-1-0 <b>Consensus:</b> <i><u>Likely to support</u></i></p>
Art. X, Sec. 9 Quorum (for Plenary Session)	<p><b>P&amp;P</b> Look at numbers for last decade &amp; compare to see if we have a problem making quorum or not. Some support amendment? Some.</p>

at Convention)	Support keeping original language? <b>YES</b> Don't know? some. <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support.</u></b>
	<b>P&amp;P</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KAHA: Present applies either way. Current language seems to allow for more fairness of representation for both small &amp; large clubs</li> <li>• Tennessee HCC: Supports KAHA point. New language sets up situation where clubs make plans to attend but insufficient numbers to make quorum when you get there. What happens if, at the end, can't make quorum? Lots of expenses.</li> </ul> Poll: 1-2-3 <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support</u></b>
<b>Art. X, Sec. 10 Resolutions</b>	<b>P&amp;P</b> a. Change timing. Keep nonsubstantive resos at 60 days. Like green language? Yes 50% Want original language to stay the same? 50% I don't know?
	<b>P &amp; P</b> <b>Move to Procedural manual : Poll: 7-0-1</b> <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b>  Various Polls in respect to changes in sections: a. 1) 5-3-1 <b><u>Likely to Support</u></b> a. 2) thru 5) 4-0-2 <b><u>Likely to Support</u></b> b. 6-0-1 <b><u>Likely to Support</u></b> c. 4-1-2 <b>50/50</b> but <b><u>Likely to Support</u></b>  Feelings about subsections 1-5 in general Poll: 4-0-2 <b>Consensus: <u>Unsettled</u></b>  Whether, if 1-5 are adopted, the language should be in procedural manual: Poll: 6-0-1 <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support.</u></b>
<b>This point forward comments from combo of in person and virtual</b>	
<b>Art. X, Sec. 10</b>	Move to Procedural manual

<b>Resolutions</b>	<p>Poll: 7-0-1  <b>Likely to support</b>  <b>P&amp;P Sec. b</b></p> <p><b>P&amp;P Sec. c</b>  Poll: 4-1-1  <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i></p> <p><b>P &amp; P Sec. d. To be withdrawn.</b></p> <p><b>P &amp; P Sec. e. Chairperson</b>  No poll taken.</p> <p><b>Kalihi-Palama, Sec. b Alternate language</b>  No action. This language was moved to policy manual January 2022, 62d Convention.</p>
<b>Art. X, Sec. 11  Preserving Records</b>	<b>No change in language. It is new language as of 62<sup>nd</sup> Convention.</b>
<b>Art. X, Sec. 12  Order of Business</b>	<b>Kalihi-Palama</b>
	<p><b>Sec. t. Installation of officers</b>  <b>PKHCC</b>  Delete and insert NEW Section 13. Re postponement based on emergency.  Poll: 8-0-2  <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to support</u></i></p>
<b>Art. X, Sec. 13 (New)  Postponement</b>	<p><b>Gives BOD authority to postpone a convention or membership meeting w/ notification.</b>  <b>PKHCC -Gives BOD authority to postpone a convention or membership meeting w/ notification.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>LVHCC: this may be a duplication or can be included in section addressing virtual meeting/convention</b></li> <li>• <b>Poll: 13-0-0 Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></li> </ul>
<b>Art. XI, Sec. 1  Meetings</b>	<p><b>Para. b: Calling special meetings/executive session/observers. Who may call. Requirements to call.</b>  <b>PKHCC</b>  No questions/comments.</p> <p><b>Poll: 14-0-0</b></p>

	<i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i>
Art. XI Section 1c. Alternate.	<p>Maui Council Alternate –Executive Session</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PKHCC: this is covered in Roberts Rules (RONR). Redundant here.</li> </ul> <p>Poll: 2-8-2  <i>Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support.</u></i></p>
Art. XI, Sec. 2 Locations	<p>P &amp; P Clarification language.  Poll: 9-4-1  <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i></p>
	<p>Maui Council “including a virtual meeting”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PKHCC: encourage codification of authority. Mentioned numerous times in docs. Redundant. Authors with similar amendments should work together to conform language &amp; publish in a single space.</li> </ul> <p>Poll: 9-1-3  <i>Consensus : <u>Likely to Support</u></i></p>
Art. XI, Sec. 3 Notice of Meetings.	<p>P &amp; P provides how to details, sections b &amp; c  Poll: 12-0-0  Consensus: Likely to Support</p> <p>Subsec. d: Waiver of Meeting Notice  PKHCC -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Statutory notice: notice that is stated in statutes. If people actually show up, notice doesn’t matter.</li> <li>• Kuini Piolani: if notice is waived, is there still a communication to broader organization to be made?</li> <li>• The amendment language: notice has to happen AND if board members show up even w/o notice, notice is waived. Would cover emergency situations when timely notice can’t be sent out in a timely manner.</li> </ul> <p>Poll: good with subsec. b: 8-0-1  <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i></p>
Art. XI, Sec. 4 Openness (of Meetings)	<p>PKHCC – Delete original language.  Add “communication” in its place. Already included under Art. 11, sec. 1 Observers</p>



	<p><b>Maui Council New Language: Communication</b>  <b>No questions</b></p> <p><b>Poll: 11-1-0</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support.</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. XI, new section</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P Sine Die</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Princess Kaiulani HCC.</b> Deferred so item is still alive. It's a DEFERRAL matter.</li> <li>• <b>PKHCC:</b> not sure how to address. Under RONR, this action is actually incorporated. So why is it necessary? Is this a legislative practice? Don't see the need for it.</li> <li>• <b>Princess Kaiulani:</b> in the past, at the end of convention, everything is DONE. This new language allows staying further action to a later time. i.e. defer to later date</li> <li>• <b>PKHCC:</b> If committee wants to further work, can revisit the draft and complete work but item would die for purposes of the committee recommendation. So if Plenary tells committee to deal with and it doesn't, then WHAT?</li> <li>• <b>Princess Ruth HCC:</b> Would be call for leadership to make sure the follow-up happens.</li> <li>• <b>Princess Kaiulani HCC:</b> Supports because allows club to continue working on issue</li> <li>• <b>PKHCC:</b> if unfinished business before house, can Board take action in the interim? Rusty: he and Makana will work on it.</li> </ul> <p><b>Poll: Is more work needed on this item? 13-0-0</b></p> <p><b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<p><b>CURRENT Art. XI, Sec. 5  Executive Comm. Meetings</b></p>	<p><b>P&amp;P – Authors to withdraw change of Executive Committee to “Steering Committee”</b></p> <p><b>This amendment relates to meetings; should be included under Art. 11 (as Art. 11, sec. 6)</b></p> <p><b>PKHCC language: actions of the exec committee should be in report of the Pelekikena (or somewhere) &amp; be available to BOD at next meeting</b></p> <p><b>Poll: 11-0-0</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. XI, Sec. 6 NEW  Electronic Meetings</b></p>	<p><b>PKHCC – Authorizes electronic meetings.</b>  <b>General consensus that electronic meetings need to be addressed. but does not need to be repeated through C &amp; B's. Should be a single provision in bylaws.</b></p>

	<p><b>Recommendation from the body: Leave to P &amp; P Committee to appropriately place it in the document(s)</b></p> <p><b>No poll.</b></p>
<p><b>Art. XII, Sec. 1 Rules of Order Renamed Art. XI, Sec. 7, Rules of Order</b></p>	<p><b>[P&amp;P] Rules of Order + following sections refer to meetings and should be recognized as such. Non-substantive changes</b></p> <p><b>PKHCC alternate. Rules of Order exist in Const. Redundant. Delete here.</b></p> <p><b>Poll: 10-1-0</b></p> <p><b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>
<p><b>Art. XII, Sec. 2 Quorum (at Board of Directors Mtg)</b></p>	<p><b>Move to Art. 11 as sec. 7 Non-substantive Relates to Mtgs.</b></p>
<p><b>Art. XII, Sec. 3 (Order of Business)</b></p>	<p><b>Include in Art. 11 as sec. 8. Non-substantive</b></p> <p><b><i>At k. Add Sine Die language if approved at Art. 11, Sec.##.</i></b></p>
<p><b>CURRENT Art. XIII, Sec. 1 Amendments (to be changed to Art. XII, Sec. 1)</b></p>	<p><b>[Kaiulani]</b></p> <p><b>Sec. 1: language change to “convening of the House of Delegates” and other clarification. Author to withdraw what is stated in parentheses.</b></p> <p><b>Poll: 6-0-6</b></p> <p><b>Consensus: <u>50/50 Unsure</u></b></p> <p><b>Sec. 2: change deadline to submit from 60 to 90 days. Submission process change.</b></p> <p><b>Consensus:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LVHCC-due date/timing a bit confusing with other assn deadlines</li> <li>• KAHA-not opposed to new language but sec. 2-4 are better suited to SOP manual</li> </ul> <p><b>Sec. 3: P &amp; P chair distribute to clubs. Change from 30 to 60 days before convening of House of Delegates (HOD)</b></p> <p><b>Poll: 9-0-2</b></p> <p><b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p> <p><b>Sec. 4: Pelekikena places proposed amendments on agenda for next convening of HOD</b></p> <p><b>Poll: 10-0-0</b></p> <p><b>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></b></p>

	<p>Sec. 5: language clarification [non-substantive]  Poll: 8-1-2  Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></p>
<p>Art. XIII, Sec. 1 Amendments  Alternate Version</p>	<p>Kalihi-Palama Sec. 1  P&amp;P should circulate amendments to clubs 30 days prior to convening of HOD  Poll: 2-9-1  Consensus: <u>Not Likely to Support</u></p>
<p>Art. XIII, Sec. 1 (New Art. 12,  Sec. 1) [NEW]  Amendments</p>	<p>Princess Kaiulani: Sec. 1 Language specific to ConCon as a separate function from conventions.  Same content. Reorganized.</p> <p>Kalihi-Palama Sec. 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LVHCC- should we include a definite date as to when it is out/published; author in agreement with suggestion</li> <li>• PKHCC- identify time specification in document, fiscal or administrative change</li> </ul> <p>Poll: 4-0-3  Consensus: <u>Likely to Support/Unsure</u></p>
<p>Art. XIII, Sec. 1 - 5  Amendments</p>	<p>Princess Kaiulani – Sec. 1 Amendments can be done at any convention, so no need concon. Author intends to withdraw.</p> <p>As written, House of Delegates ‘meeting’ implies mtgs both in person &amp; virtual.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LVHCC: may want to add language to allow hybrid convention</li> <li>• Princess Kaiulani: clarification. Would be authorized elsewhere.</li> <li>• PRHCC: all rules should allow format</li> <li>• Kaumuali’i HCC: hybrid convention is HUGE headache. Impossible to accommodate. Would not support hybrid.</li> </ul> <p>Poll: support sec. 1 6-0-5  Consensus: <u>Split</u></p>
	<p>Took Sections 2, 3, 4 together. Authorization language should be in bylaws but procedure language should be put into policy manual</p>

**SEC. 2**

- LVHCC: Sec. 2. – increase to 90 from 60 days & sent to P & P. Timing language changes b/n resos and amendments, could cause confusion
- Princess Kaiulani HCC: gives committee more time & members > time to caucus. Not in alignment with timing for resos
- KAHA: not opposed to put in procedure but should be reference in Bylaws where to find procedures.

**Poll: support sec. 2: 9-0-2**

**Consensus: Likely to support but some confusion**

**SEC. 3**

**Poll: 9-0-2**

**Consensus: Likely to Support but some confusion.**

**SEC. 4 Pres put amendments on agenda**

**Poll: 10-0-0**

**Consensus: Likely to Support**

- PKHCC: where do amendments go? Go to Policy & Planning Committee. Would Princess Kaiulani be okay with language under constitution. Yes. Author will work on edit for sec. 4.

**MOVE Secs. 2, 3, 4 TO PROCEDURE MANUAL?:**

**Poll: 8-1-2**

**Consensus: Likely to Support**

**SEC. 5 NON SUBSTANTIVE NO ACTION**

---

**KALIHI-PALAMA (ALTERNATE LANGUAGE)**

**Submit at 60. Circulate 30 days prior to next convention.**

**Poll: if you like submit amendments at 60 days, circulate to members at 30 days 2-9-1**

**Consensus: Not Likely to Support. Authors can work together.**

Art. 12, Sec. 2 NEW	<p><b>Kalihi-Palama. Effective date of amendments is immediately at close of convention.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>LVHCC: impossible to take effect immediately because need some time to put all the changes in a final document. Need a <u>stated</u> time. Kalihi-Palama is amenable to change.</b></li> <li>• <b>PKHCC: The general rule should be that any amendment should specify when it goes live. If we want to put a time certain, recommend it become end of fiscal year, or at admin change</b></li> <li>• <b>Kauwahi Anaina: is one size fits all date appropriate?</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Poll on idea of having an effective date: 4-0-2</b>  <b>Consensus: <u>Likely to support but some confusion</u></b></p>
Art. XIII, Sec. 1	<p><i>Notwithstanding the above discussion &amp; polling,</i>  <b>Princess Kaiulani HCC. Separate article re: ConCon</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>WITHDRAWING ALL SECTIONS 1-5.</b></li> </ul>
Art. XIII, Sec. 2 Amendments	To be withdrawn
Art. XIII, Sec. 3 Amendments	To be withdrawn
Art. XIII, Sec. 4 Amendments	To be withdrawn
Art. XIII, Sec. 5 Amendments	To be withdrawn
New Art. ##, Sec. 1 Leadership Conf: Purpose	<p><b>PKHCC: LEADERSHIP</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>LVHCC: What is the purpose of having ANOTHER conf per year? Need more details. Not appropriate in bylaws.</b></li> <li>• <b>PKHCC: response. Give governance authority. Authorizes what we actually already do.</b></li> <li>• <b>Kauwahi `Anaina: language <u>requires</u> leadership conf to be held. What if leadership decides not to hold it?</b></li> <li>• <b>PKHCC: Club leadership believes this should be done. Also, any member in good standing should be allowed to attend.</b></li> <li>• <b>Kaumuali`i HCC: Supports. Should refer to annual retreat specifically as opposed to something else to help to clarify.</b></li> <li>• <b>PKHCC: Right now BOD can do this. New language allows others to be included (and would be able to budget for the expense)</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Poll: 10-1-1</b></p>

	<p>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></p>
<p>New Art. ## Contracts</p>	<p>PKHCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LVHCC: Add “agreements” to Contracts; author agrees</li> <li>• HCC of Honolulu: uncomfortable that this is a “floating” authority. Should be more specificity as to who can sign. Language too uncertain. PKHCC: agrees with that thinking. That’s the reason it’s been put in because all kinds of people currently sign. Codification is needed. HHCC: Still too loosely-goosey.</li> <li>• PKHCC: other than CEO, no one should sign unless they are designated by the board. If anyone else is to sign, the board would have to authorize.</li> </ul> <p>Poll: Want to see some language about who has authority to sign and how we work out the authority has to be worked out? Poll: 10-0-0 Consensus: <u>Likely to support.</u></p>
<p>New Art. ## Policy &amp; Procedural Manual</p>	<p>PKHCC</p> <p>Codify policies/procedures by P &amp; P</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LVHCC: include SOPs in manual. Author agrees</li> <li>• Honolulu HCC: need to clarify meaning of “codify”; Author states all committees would present to P &amp; P for review for language, form &amp; style so standardized for non-sub changes. Procedure: P &amp; P would provide to BOD or finance for final approval depending on where policy originated</li> </ul> <p>Poll: include language here? 8-0-2 Consensus: <u>Likely to support</u></p>
<p>New Art. ## Conflict of Interest</p>	<p>PKHCC Sec. 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kealoha Aina: Is this new policy or current one being edited; author says this is new provision in bylaws &amp; in tandem with earlier attempts to bring in this kind of policy and to codify in compliance with Fed 501(c)(3) requirements.</li> <li>• Princess Ruth: good practice. This is expected of Oahu clubs.</li> <li>• PKHCC: language specifically limits your rights as BOD member in this specific way to prevent overstepping authority</li> </ul> <p>Poll: 10-0-0 Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></p>

<p><b>New Art. ##, Sec. 1 Liability &amp; Indemnification of Officers, Directors, employees, Volunteers, and Agents</b></p>	<p><b><u>Sec. 1</u> No Liability to Association Kalihi-Palama</b></p> <p><b>Clarifies liability &amp; indemnification</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>LVHCC:</b> communicate explanation of Liability insurance as well the need for Directors &amp; Officers (D&amp;O) insurance to be presented to all clubs. Include what it does/does not cover</li> <li>• <b>HCC of Honolulu:</b> D &amp; O is specific type of insurance. Should be specifically listed. Should look more into this kind of insurance and its implications for clubs</li> <li>• <b>LVHCC:</b> Adding Liability, D &amp; O, etc. raises price of insurance</li> </ul> <p><b>Poll: Include in bylaws? 12-0-0</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Likely to Support</u></i></p> <p><b><u>Sec. 2</u> Indemnity, a-g Kalihi-Palama</b></p>
<p><b>New Art. ## Impossibility Provisions</b></p>	<p><b>Suspension of bylaws in emergency Kalihi-Palama</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>LVHVV:</b> Concern that there are other ways to get business done <u>besides</u> suspending agreement between assn and members. Slippery slope when we start suspending the rules that govern us. RONR does not recommend this be done at all.</li> <li>• <b>PKHCC:</b> acknowledge concern but recognizes the need to operate in emergency situation. Open to specific language suggestions for triggers and boundaries for BOD to operate during emergency.</li> <li>• <b>KAHA:</b> no objection but maybe delineate duties of officers, restrictions in making decisions other than suspending bylaws. Add details in procedure manual and refer to that for more detail.</li> <li>• <b>LVHCC:</b> need timeline or duration stated</li> </ul> <p><b>Two polls taken:</b></p> <p><b>Poll: How do you feel about the language as is? 0-11-0</b> <i>Consensus: <u>Not likely to support.</u></i></p> <p><b>Poll: Would you like to see an impossibility provision as long as terms are worked out by drafting club? 6-1-4</b></p>

	<i>Consensus: <u>Likely to support.</u></i>
--	---